

- 1 HB229
- 2 64LIZ3Z-1
- 3 By Representatives Paschal, Wood (D), Whorton, Rehm, Butler,
- 4 Harrison, DuBose, Moore (P), Pettus, Estes, Brown
- 5 RFD: Judiciary
- 6 First Read: 06-Feb-25



1 2

SYNOPSIS:

Existing law specifies that it is the policy of this state that parents who are divorced or separated have frequent and continuing contact with their children. Existing law also specifies that joint custody does not necessarily mean equal physical custody.

This bill would remove existing code language that provides for custody of a child to be granted to a husband in cases of abandonment by the wife only after the child reaches seven years of age.

This bill would revise existing definitions regarding custody to be consistent with terminology used in case law.

Existing law defines "joint physical custody" as frequent and substantial contact with each parent but does not define what frequent and substantial contact means.

This bill would define frequent and substantial contact to mean that the child has equal or approximately equal time with both parents.

This bill would create a rebuttable presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child and establish uniform guidelines for a court to consider when determining any custody arrangement other



than joint custody.

Existing law does not require a court to document that the court considered and rejected joint custody and the reasons for the rejection.

This bill would require a court to document its reasons for deviating from the presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child.

Existing law requires the parties in a child custody matter to submit a parenting plan only in cases where the parties request joint custody.

This bill would require the parties to submit a parenting plan in all cases, and in the case of a contested divorce, follow a joint custody model; if a parent believes joint custody is not in the best interest of his or her child whose custody is at issue, he or she may file a motion for temporary relief.

This bill would require the courts to expedite a motion for temporary relief, and provide certain penalties if a motion for temporary relief is filed in bad faith or without factual support.

This bill would authorize the court to establish a parenting plan when the parties are unable to agree upon one.

This bill would specify additional remedies to a party when a parent, without proper cause, fails to adhere to the time-sharing schedule in a parenting plan, including makeup parenting time and reimbursement for costs and attorney fees.



57 This bill would set requirements for the 58 modification of physical custody in certain 59 circumstances. 60 This bill would also specify that this act does not limit domestic or family abuse laws. 61 62 63 64 65 A BILL 66 TO BE ENTITLED 67 AN ACT 68 Relating to child custody; to amend Sections 30-3-1, 69 30-3-150, 30-3-151, 30-3-152, 30-3-153, and 30-3-157 of the 70 71 Code of Alabama 1975, and to add Section 30-3-158 to the Code of Alabama 1975, relating to child custody; to remove existing 72 code language that provides for custody of a child to be 73 74 granted to a husband in cases of abandonment by the wife only 75 after the child reaches seven years of age; to provide further

after the child reaches seven years of age; to provide further
for the policy of this state regarding child custody; to

provide further for definitions; to provide that there is a

rebuttable presumption that joint custody is in the best

interest of the child, which can be overcome only by evidence;

to establish factors for a court to consider when determining

any custody arrangement other than joint custody; to require a parenting plan and to authorize the court to establish a

parenting plan in certain situations; to specify remedies when

84 a party fails to adhere to certain provisions in a parenting

81

82



- plan; to set requirements for the modification of physical custody in certain circumstances; to allow a parent to file a petition for temporary relief if he or she believes joint custody is not in the best interest of the child; to provide certain remedies if an unsupported or bad faith petition for temporary relief is filed; and to provide that nothing in this act shall be construed to limit domestic or family abuse
- 93 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA:

provisions of the law.

- 94 Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as 95 the Best Interest of the Child Protection Act of 2025.
- 96 Section 2. Sections 30-3-1, 30-3-150, 30-3-151, 97 30-3-152, 30-3-153, and 30-3-157, Code of Alabama 1975, are 98 amended to read as follows:
- 99 "\$30-3-1

92

Upon granting a divorce, the court may give the custody 100 101 and education of the children of the marriage to either father 102 or mother, as may seem right and proper, having regard to the 103 moral character and prudence of the parents each parent and 104 the age and sex of the children; and, pending the action, may 105 make such orders in respect to the custody of the children as 106 in the best interest of their safety and well-being may 107 require. But in cases of abandonment of the husband by the wife, he shall have the custody of the children after they are 108 109 seven years of age, if he is a suitable person to have such charge." 110

111 "\$30-3-150

112 Joint Custody. It is the policy of this state to assure

THE SERVICE

113	that minor children have frequent and continuing contact with
114	parents who have shown the ability to act in the best interest
115	of their children and to encourage parents to share in the
116	rights and responsibilities of rearing raising their children
117	after the parents have separated or dissolved their marriage.
118	Joint custody does not necessarily mean equal physical
119	custody. It is the intent of the Legislature that this chapter
120	be implemented in a manner that recognizes the importance of
121	family and the fundamental rights of parents and children. The
122	social science research indicates it is in the best interest
123	of children to have consistent and maximized contact with
124	parents. In custody hearings, courts are encouraged to keep
125	this in mind when determining the best interest of the child."
126	" §30-3-151
127	For the purposes of this article, the following words
128	shall have the following meanings:
129	(1) JOINT CUSTODY. Joint legal custody and joint
130	physical custody.
131	(2) JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY. Both A custody arrangement
132	where both parents have equal rights and responsibilities for
133	major decisions concerning the child, including, but not
134	limited to, the education of the child, health care, and
135	religious training, and the responsibility to discuss those
136	decisions and consider the wishes and concerns of each parent
137	and the child. The court may designate one parent to have sole
138	power to make certain decisions while both parents retain
139	equal rights and responsibilities for other decisions final
140	decision-making authority to make certain major decisions;



however, that designation does not negate the responsibility
of that parent to discuss those decisions with the other
parent and to consider the other parent's wishes and concerns.
In the event of an impasse and in the absence of an express
award of final decision-making authority, the primary physical
custodian shall have final decision-making authority for those
decisions.

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

166

167

- arrangement where physical custody is shared by the parents in a way that assures the child frequent and substantial contact with each parent. Joint physical custody does not necessarily mean physical custody of equal durations of time. For purposes of this subdivision, "frequent and substantial contact" means that the child has equal or approximately equal time with both parents.
- (4) NONRESIDENTIAL CUSTODIAL PARENT. The parent with 156 157 whom the child does not live the majority of the time and who 158 does not have the primary authority and responsibility for the 159 day-to-day care and decisions relating to the raising of a 160 child or the authority to establish where a child will live, 161 but who does have the authority and responsibility for the 162 day-to-day care and decisions related to the raising of a 163 child when the child is in his or her physical custody and not 164 in the physical custody of the parent with primary physical 165 custody as outlined in the parenting plan.
 - (5) PARENTING PLAN. A plan specifying the time which a minor child will spend with each parent and which may include provisions for progressive periods of time-sharing within the

court ordered time-sharing schedules based on the increasing
age and developmental considerations of the child, the need of
the child to be reunited with a parent, or any other
consideration pertaining to the child's best interest. The
inclusion of a progressive provision in a parenting plan or a
custody order does not alter the type of physical custody
ordered by the court or the burden of proof required to modify
custody.
(6) PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. A status of custody
making one parent the predominant physical custodian of the
child and granting the other parent the status of the
nonresidential custodial parent, unless the judge specifically
grants the other parent the status of restricted physical
custody. The parent with primary physical custody has the
primary authority and responsibility for the day-to-day care
and decisions related to the raising of the child and to
establish where the child will live.
(7) RESTRICTED PHYSICAL CUSTODY. A status of custody
where a parent's physical access to a child is limited to
supervised custody, no overnight custody, a suspension of
physical contact, or any other restrictions on custody
determined by the court to be in the best interest of the
<pre>child.</pre>

(4) (8) SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY. One A status of custody where one parent has sole rights and responsibilities to make major decisions concerning the child, including, but not limited to, the education of the child, health care, and religious training, and the civil, cultural, and athletic



197	activities of the child.
198	(5) SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY. One parent has sole physical
199	custody and the other parent has rights of visitation except
200	as otherwise provided by the court."
201	" §30-3-152
202	(a) The court shall in every case consider joint
203	custody but may award any form of custody which is determined
204	to be There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint
205	<pre>custody is in the best interest of the child. This rebuttable</pre>
206	presumption may be overcome by a preponderance of the
207	evidence, set forth in written findings of fact, that joint
208	custody is not in the best interest of the child. In the even
209	the presumption is rebutted, the court shall enter an order
210	that includes all of the following:
211	(1) The court's reason for deviating from the joint
212	<pre>custody arrangement.</pre>
213	(2) The facts and findings concerning the basis for the
214	<pre>court's determination.</pre>
215	(3) A parenting plan that maximizes the time each
216	parent has with the child and is consistent with ensuring the
217	welfare of the child.
218	(b) In determining whether joint custody is in the best
219	interest of the child, the court shall consider the same
220	factors considered in awarding sole legal and physical any
221	other form of custody arrangement and all of the following

the factors presented and the best interest of the child: 223 224

222

(1) The agreement or lack of agreement of the parents

factors, but may weigh various factors differently based on



225 on joint custody.

239

240

241

242

243

- 226 (2) The past and present ability of the parents to 227 cooperate with each other and make decisions jointly.
- 228 (3) The ability of the parents to encourage the sharing 229 of love, affection, and contact between the child and the 230 other parent.
- 231 (4) Any history of or potential for child abuse, spouse abuse, or kidnapping.
- 233 (5) The geographic proximity of the parents to each
 234 other as this relates to the practical considerations of joint
 235 physical custody.
- 236 (b)(c) The court may order a form of joint custody
 237 without the consent of both parents, when it is in the best
 238 interest of the child.
 - (c) (d) If both parents request joint custody, the there is a presumption is that joint custody is in the best interest of the child. Joint custody, when requested by both parents, shall be granted in the final order of the court unless the court makes specific findings as to why joint custody is not granted.
- 245 (e) If joint custody is not awarded by the court, all
 246 of the following factors shall be considered by the court when
 247 determining which other custody arrangement is in the best
 248 interest of the child. The court may weigh the following
 249 factors differently based on the facts presented and the best
 250 interest of the child:
- 251 (1) The preferences of the parents.
- 252 (2) The moral, mental, and physical fitness of each



253	<pre>parent.</pre>
254	(3) The capacity of each parent to engage in a loving
255	relationship with the child and to provide for the needs of
256	the child, including the child's emotional, social, moral,
257	material, and educational needs.
258	(4) The history of cooperation between the parents,
259	including the past and present history and the capacity of
260	each parent to facilitate or encourage a continuing
261	<pre>parent-child relationship with both parents.</pre>
262	(5) Each parent's home environment.
263	(6) Each parent's criminal history or evidence of
264	violence, or sexual, mental, or physical abuse.
265	(7) Evidence of substance abuse by either parent.
266	(8) The child's age and any special needs.
267	(9) Characteristics of each individual seeking custody,
268	including age, character, stability, and mental and physical
269	<pre>health.</pre>
270	(10) The report and recommendation of any expert
271	witnesses or other independent investigator.
272	(11) Military considerations in accordance with state
273	and federal law.
274	(12) The child's current adjustment to or involvement
275	with his or her community.
276	(13) The relationship between each parent and the
277	<pre>child.</pre>
278	(14) The preference of the child if the child is of
279	sufficient age and maturity.

(15) The relationship between the child and the child's



281	peers, siblings, or other relatives.
282	(16) Each parent's knowledge and familiarity with the
283	child and level of involvement in the child's life both prior
284	to and after the separation of the parents.
285	(17) The effect on the child of disrupting or
286	
	continuing an existing custodial status.
287	(18) Any history or evidence of parental alienation.
288	Parental alienation in a child is the result of intentional
289	and purposeful behavior by one parent to undermine and sever
290	the child's relationship with the other parent.
291	(19) Any other relevant factors."
292	" §30-3-153
293	(a) In order to implement joint custody, the The court
294	shall require the parents to submit, as part of their
295	agreement, each parent, separately or together, to submit a
296	parenting plan with provisions covering matters relevant to
297	the care and custody of the child, including, but not limited
298	to, all of the following:
299	(1) The care and education of the child. The ways in
300	which the parents will share tasks and be responsible for the
301	daily upbringing of the child.
302	(2) The medical and dental care of the child. The amount
303	of time the child will spend with each parent.
304	(3) Holidays and vacations. A designation of which
305	parent is responsible for the health care of the child and
306	school-related matters, including the address to be used to
307	establish residence for school and other activities.

308

(4) Child support. Transportation arrangements for the



309 <u>child</u>, including which parent bears the costs of transporting
310 the child.

- (5) Other necessary factors that affect the physical or emotional health and well-being of the child.
- (6) The methods and technologies that the parents intend to use to communicate with the child and each other.
- (6) (7) Designating the parent possessing primary authority and responsibility regarding involvement of the minor child in academic, religious, civic, cultural, athletic, and other activities, and in medical and dental care if the parents are unable to agree on these decisions. The exercise of this primary authority is not intended to negate the responsibility of the parties to notify and communicate with each other as provided in this article.
- 323 (8) Child support and the division of any expenses in
 324 addition to child support, as provided in Rule 32 of the
 325 Alabama Rules of Judicial Administration.
 - (b) If the parties are unable to reach an agreement as to the provisions in subsection (a), the court shall set the plan.
 - (c) Any parenting plan submitted by the parents

 pursuant to subsection (a) shall follow a joint custody model,

 unless subsection (d) applies.
 - (d) If a parent believes, based upon the facts and circumstances of the case or any factors to be considered by the court pursuant to Section 30-3-152, that joint custody is not in the best interest of a child whose custody is being determined, the parent shall file, in conjunction with the



37	parenting plan, a verified motion for temporary relief,
38	pendente lite. A verified motion for temporary relief,
39	pendente lite filed pursuant to this subsection shall state
40	with specificity sufficient allegations of fact to justify
41	relief. The court shall expeditiously consider all motions
42	filed pursuant to this section.
43	(e) If the court determines that a party filed a
4 4	verified motion for temporary relief, pendente lite in the
45	absence of an adequate factual basis or in bad faith, the
46	court shall award reasonable attorney fees to the non-filing
47	party to be paid by the filing party. The court may also
48	impose other sanctions it deems reasonable, including, but not
49	limited to, providing that the unsupported or bad faith filing
50	may be considered as a negative factor during the custody
51	<pre>determination.</pre>
52	" §30-3-157
53	(a) This article shall not be construed as grounds for
54	modification of an existing order. This article shall not be
55	construed as affecting the standard applicable to a subsequent
56	modification.
7	(b) When a parent seeks to modify physical custody of
8	the child after a prior decree awarding primary physical
9	custody to another person, the parent seeking modification
)	must prove all of the following:
	(1) That a material change in circumstances has
2	occurred since the previous judgment.
	(2) That the child's best interest will be materially
	promoted by a change of physical custody.



365 (3) That the benefits of the change will more than
366 offset the inherently disruptive effect resulting from the
367 change in physical custody."

Section 3. Section 30-3-158 is added to the Code of Alabama 1975, to read as follows:

\$30-3-158

- (a) When a parent refuses to adhere to the time-sharing schedule in the parenting plan ordered by the court without proper cause, the court may take any of the following actions:
- (1) After calculating the amount of time-sharing improperly denied by a parent, issue an order awarding the parent who was denied time a sufficient amount of extra time-sharing to compensate for the time-sharing missed. The order shall be issued as expeditiously as possible in a manner consistent with the best interest of the child and scheduled in a manner that is convenient for the parent deprived of time-sharing. In ordering any makeup time-sharing, the court shall schedule the time-sharing in a manner that is consistent with the best interest of the child or children, that is convenient for the nonoffending parent, and at the expense of the noncompliant parent.
- (2) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the time-sharing schedule to pay reasonable court costs and attorney fees incurred by the nonoffending parent to enforce the time-sharing schedule.
- 391 (3) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing,
 392 or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the



- 393 time-sharing schedule, to attend a parenting course approved
- 394 by the court. The parenting course shall educate the parent
- 395 about the benefits of a child's relationship with both
- 396 parents.
- 397 (4) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing
- 398 or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the
- 399 time-sharing schedule to pay the actual costs incurred by the
- 400 other parent because of the failure to provide time-sharing or
- 401 the failure to properly exercise time-sharing as provided by
- 402 the court order.
- 403 (5) Impose any other reasonable remedies as a result of
- 404 noncompliance.
- 405 (b) The actions described in subsection (a) are in
- 406 addition to existing remedies, including, but not limited to,
- 407 contempt.
- 408 Section 4. A court of competent jurisdiction shall
- 409 equally enforce all child custody and child support orders.
- Section 5. Nothing in this act shall be construed to
- 411 limit the domestic or family abuse provisions provided in
- 412 Article 6 of Chapter 3, Title 30, Code of Alabama 1975.
- Section 6. (a) The provisions of this act may not be
- 414 construed to constitute a material change of circumstances for
- 415 purposes of modifying an order in place before January 1,
- 416 2026.
- 417 (b) The provisions of this act are severable. If any
- 418 part of this act is declared invalid or unconstitutional, that
- 419 declaration shall not affect the part which remains.
- Section 7. This act shall become effective January 1,



421 2026.