

1 2

SYNOPSIS:

Existing law specifies that it is the policy of this state that parents who are divorced or separated have frequent and continuing contact with their children. Existing law also specifies that joint custody does not necessarily mean equal physical custody.

This bill would remove existing code language that provides for custody of a child to be granted to a husband in cases of abandonment by the wife only after the child reaches seven years of age.

This bill would revise existing definitions regarding custody to be consistent with terminology used in case law.

Existing law defines "joint physical custody" as frequent and substantial contact with each parent but does not define what frequent and substantial contact means.

This bill would define frequent and substantial contact to mean that the child has equal or approximately equal time with both parents.

This bill would create a rebuttable presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child and establish uniform guidelines for a court to consider when determining any custody arrangement other



than joint custody.

Existing law does not require a court to document that the court considered and rejected joint custody and the reasons for the rejection.

This bill would require a court to document its reasons for deviating from the presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child.

Existing law requires the parties in a child custody matter to submit a parenting plan only in cases where the parties request joint custody.

This bill would require the parties to submit a parenting plan in all cases, and in the case of a contested divorce, follow a joint custody model; if a parent believes joint custody is not in the best interest of his or her child whose custody is at issue, he or she may file a motion for temporary relief.

This bill would require the courts to expedite a motion for temporary relief, and provide certain penalties if a motion for temporary relief is filed in bad faith or without factual support.

This bill would authorize the court to establish a parenting plan when the parties are unable to agree upon one.

This bill would specify additional remedies to a party when a parent, without proper cause, fails to adhere to the time-sharing schedule in a parenting plan, including makeup parenting time and reimbursement for costs and attorney fees.



57 This bill would set requirements for the 58 modification of physical custody in certain 59 circumstances.

This bill would also specify that this act does not limit domestic or family abuse laws.

62

60

61

63

64 A BILL

TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Relating to child custody; to amend Sections 30-3-1, 30-3-150, 30-3-151, 30-3-152, 30-3-153, and 30-3-157 of the Code of Alabama 1975, and to add Section 30-3-158 to the Code of Alabama 1975, relating to child custody; to remove existing code language that provides for custody of a child to be granted to a husband in cases of abandonment by the wife only after the child reaches seven years of age; to provide further for the policy of this state regarding child custody; to provide further for definitions; to provide that there is a rebuttable presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child, which can be overcome only by evidence; to establish factors for a court to consider when determining any custody arrangement other than joint custody; to require a parenting plan and to authorize the court to establish a parenting plan in certain situations; to specify remedies when a party fails to adhere to certain provisions in a parenting plan; to set requirements for the modification of physical



- standard custody in certain circumstances; to allow a parent to file a

 petition for temporary relief if he or she believes joint

 custody is not in the best interest of the child; to provide

 certain remedies if an unsupported or bad faith petition for

 temporary relief is filed; and to provide that nothing in this

 act shall be construed to limit domestic or family abuse

 provisions of the law.
- 92 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA:
- 93 Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as 94 the Best Interest of the Child Protection Act of 2025.
- 95 Section 2. Sections 30-3-1, 30-3-150, 30-3-151, 96 30-3-152, 30-3-153, and 30-3-157, Code of Alabama 1975, are 97 amended to read as follows:
- 98 "\$30-3-1

99 Upon granting a divorce, the court may give the custody and education of the children of the marriage to either father 100 101 or mother, as may seem right and proper, having regard to the 102 moral character and prudence of the parents each parent and 103 the age and sex of the children; and, pending the action, may 104 make such orders in respect to the custody of the children as 105 in the best interest of their safety and well-being-may 106 require. But in cases of abandonment of the husband by the wife, he shall have the custody of the children after they are 107 108 seven years of age, if he is a suitable person to have such 109 charge."

110 "\$30-3-150

111 Joint Custody. It is the policy of this state to assure
112 that minor children have frequent and continuing contact with



113 parents who have shown the ability to act in the best interest 114 of their children and to encourage parents to share in the rights and responsibilities of rearing raising their children 115 116 after the parents have separated or dissolved their marriage. 117 Joint custody does not necessarily mean equal physical 118 custody. It is the intent of the Legislature that this chapter 119 be implemented in a manner that recognizes the importance of 120 family and the fundamental rights of parents and children. The 121 social science research indicates it is in the best interest 122 of children to have consistent and maximized contact with 123 parents. In custody hearings, courts are encouraged to keep this in mind when determining the best interest of the child." 124 **"**§30-3-151 125 126 For the purposes of this article, the following words 127 shall have the following meanings: (1) JOINT CUSTODY. Joint legal custody and joint 128 129 physical custody. 130 (2) JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY. Both A custody arrangement 131 where both parents have equal rights and responsibilities for 132 major decisions concerning the child, including, but not 133 limited to, the education of the child, health care, and 134 religious training, and the responsibility to discuss those 135 decisions and consider the wishes and concerns of each parent 136 and the child. The court may designate one parent to have sole

however, that designation does not negate the responsibility

power to make certain decisions while both parents retain

equal rights and responsibilities for other decisionsfinal

decision-making authority to make certain major decisions;

137

138

139



- of that parent to discuss those decisions with the other
 parent and to consider the other parent's wishes and concerns.

 In the event of an impasse and in the absence of an express
 award of final decision-making authority, the primary physical
 custodian shall have final decision-making authority for those
 decisions.
- (3) JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY. Physical A custody arrangement where physical custody is shared by the parents in a way that assures the child frequent and substantial contact with each parent. Joint physical custody does not necessarily mean physical custody of equal durations of time. For purposes of this subdivision, "frequent and substantial contact" means that the child has equal or approximately equal time with both parents.

- (4) NONRESIDENTIAL CUSTODIAL PARENT. The parent with whom the child does not live the majority of the time and who does not have the primary authority and responsibility for the day-to-day care and decisions relating to the raising of a child or the authority to establish where a child will live, but who does have the authority and responsibility for the day-to-day care and decisions related to the raising of a child when the child is in his or her physical custody and not in the physical custody of the parent with primary physical custody as outlined in the parenting plan.
- (5) PARENTING PLAN. A plan specifying the time which a minor child will spend with each parent and which may include provisions for progressive periods of time-sharing within the court ordered time-sharing schedules based on the increasing



age and developmental considerations of the child, the need of
the child to be reunited with a parent, or any other

consideration pertaining to the child's best interest. The

inclusion of a progressive provision in a parenting plan or a

custody order does not alter the type of physical custody

ordered by the court or the burden of proof required to modify

custody.

(6) PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. A status of custody making one parent the predominant physical custodian of the child and granting the other parent the status of the nonresidential custodial parent, unless the judge specifically grants the other parent the status of restricted physical custody. The parent with primary physical custody has the primary authority and responsibility for the day-to-day care and decisions related to the raising of the child and to establish where the child will live.

where a parent's physical access to a child is limited to supervised custody, no overnight custody, a suspension of physical contact, or any other restrictions on custody determined by the court to be in the best interest of the child.

where one parent has sole rights and responsibilities to make major decisions concerning the child, including, but not limited to, the education of the child, health care, and religious training, and the civil, cultural, and athletic activities of the child.



197	(5) SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY. One parent has sole physical
198	custody and the other parent has rights of visitation except
199	as otherwise provided by the court."
200	" §30-3-152
201	(a) The court shall in every case consider joint
202	custody but may award any form of custody which is determined
203	to be There shall be a rebuttable presumption that joint
204	<pre>custody is in the best interest of the child. This rebuttable</pre>
205	presumption may be overcome by a preponderance of the
206	evidence, set forth in written findings of fact, that joint
207	custody is not in the best interest of the child. In the event
208	the presumption is rebutted, the court shall enter an order
209	that includes all of the following:
210	(1) The court's reason for deviating from the joint
211	<pre>custody arrangement.</pre>
212	(2) The facts and findings concerning the basis for the
213	<pre>court's determination.</pre>
214	(3) A parenting plan that maximizes the time each
215	parent has with the child and is consistent with ensuring the
216	welfare of the child.
217	(b) In determining whether joint custody is in the best
218	interest of the child, the court shall consider the same
219	factors considered in awarding sole legal and physical any
220	<pre>other form of custody arrangement and all of the following</pre>
221	factors, but may weigh various factors differently based on
222	the factors presented and the best interest of the child:
223	(1) The agreement or lack of agreement of the parents

224 on joint custody.



- 225 (2) The past and present ability of the parents to cooperate with each other and make decisions jointly.
- 227 (3) The ability of the parents to encourage the sharing 228 of love, affection, and contact between the child and the 229 other parent.
- 230 (4) Any history of or potential for child abuse, spouse 231 abuse, or kidnapping.
- 232 (5) The geographic proximity of the parents to each
 233 other as this relates to the practical considerations of joint
 234 physical custody.
 - (b) (c) The court may order a form of joint custody without the consent of both parents, when it is in the best interest of the child.
- 238 (c) (d) If both parents request joint custody, the there
 239 is a presumption is that joint custody is in the best interest
 240 of the child. Joint custody, when requested by both parents,
 241 shall be granted in the final order of the court unless the
 242 court makes specific findings as to why joint custody is not
 243 granted.
- (e) If joint custody is not awarded by the court, all
 of the following factors shall be considered by the court when
 determining which other custody arrangement is in the best
 interest of the child. The court may weigh the following
 factors differently based on the facts presented and the best
 interest of the child:
 - (1) The preferences of the parents.
- 251 (2) The moral, mental, and physical fitness of each
- 252 parent.

250

235

236



253	(3) The capacity of each parent to engage in a loving
254	relationship with the child and to provide for the needs of
255	the child, including the child's emotional, social, moral,
256	material, and educational needs.
257	(4) The history of cooperation between the parents,
258	including the past and present history and the capacity of
259	each parent to facilitate or encourage a continuing
260	parent-child relationship with both parents.
261	(5) Each parent's home environment.
262	(6) Each parent's criminal history or evidence of
263	violence or sexual, mental, or physical abuse.
264	(7) Evidence of substance abuse by either parent.
265	(8) The child's age and any special needs.
266	(9) Characteristics of each individual seeking custody,
267	including age, character, stability, and mental and physical
268	<pre>health.</pre>
269	(10) The report and recommendation of any expert
270	witnesses or other independent investigator.
271	(11) Military considerations in accordance with state
272	and federal law.
273	(12) The child's current adjustment to or involvement
274	with his or her community.
275	(13) The relationship between each parent and the
276	<pre>child.</pre>
277	(14) The preference of the child if the child is of
278	sufficient age and maturity.
279	(15) The relationship between the child and the child's
280	peers, siblings, or other relatives.



281 (16) Each parent's knowledge and familiarity with the 282 child and level of involvement in the child's life both prior 283 to and after the separation of the parents. 284 (17) The effect on the child of disrupting or 285 continuing an existing custodial status. 286 (18) Any history or evidence of parental alienation. 287 Parental alienation in a child is the result of intentional 288 and purposeful behavior by one parent to undermine and sever 289 the child's relationship with the other parent. (19) Any other relevant factors." 290 **"**§30-3-153 291 292 (a) In order to implement joint custody, the The court 293 shall require the parents to submit, as part of their agreement, each parent, separately or together, to submit a 294 295 parenting plan with provisions covering matters relevant to the care and custody of the child, including, but not limited 296 297 to, all of the following: 298 (1) The care and education of the child. The ways in 299 which the parents will share tasks and be responsible for the 300 daily upbringing of the child. (2) The medical and dental care of the child. The 301 302 amount of time the child will spend with each parent. 303 (3) Holidays and vacations. A designation of which 304 parent is responsible for the health care of the child and 305 school-related matters, including the address to be used to 306 establish residence for school and other activities. 307 (4) Child support. Transportation arrangements for the

child, including which parent bears the costs of transporting



309 the child.

- 310 (5) Other necessary factors that affect the physical or 311 emotional health and well-being of the child.
 - (6) The methods and technologies that the parents intend to use to communicate with the child and each other.
 - (6) (7) Designating the parent possessing primary authority and responsibility regarding involvement of the minor child in academic, religious, civic, cultural, athletic, and other activities, and in medical and dental care if the parents are unable to agree on these decisions. The exercise of this primary authority is not intended to negate the responsibility of the parties to notify and communicate with each other as provided in this article.
 - (8) Child support and the division of any expenses in addition to child support, as provided in Rule 32 of the Alabama Rules of Judicial Administration.
 - (b) If the parties are unable to reach an agreement as to the provisions in subsection (a), the court shall set the plan.
 - (c) Any parenting plan submitted by the parents

 pursuant to subsection (a) shall follow a joint custody model,

 unless subsection (d) applies.
 - (d) If a parent believes, based upon the facts and circumstances of the case or any factors to be considered by the court pursuant to Section 30-3-152, that joint custody is not in the best interest of a child whose custody is being determined, the parent shall file, in conjunction with the parenting plan, a verified motion for temporary relief,



337	pendente lite. A verified motion for temporary relief,
338	pendente lite filed pursuant to this subsection shall state
339	with specificity sufficient allegations of fact to justify
340	relief. The court shall expeditiously consider all motions
341	filed pursuant to this section.
342	(e) If the court determines that a party filed a
343	verified motion for temporary relief, pendente lite in the
344	absence of an adequate factual basis or in bad faith, the
345	court shall award reasonable attorney fees to the non-filing
346	party to be paid by the filing party. The court may also
347	impose other sanctions it deems reasonable, including, but not
348	limited to, providing that the unsupported or bad faith filing
349	may be considered as a negative factor during the custody
350	determination."
351	" §30-3-157
352	(a) This article shall not be construed as grounds for
353	modification of an existing order. This article shall not be
354	construed as affecting the standard applicable to a subsequent
355	modification.
356	(b) When a parent seeks to modify physical custody of
357	the child after a prior decree awarding primary physical
358	custody to another person, the parent seeking modification
359	must prove all of the following:
360	(1) That a material change in circumstances has
361	occurred since the previous judgment.
362	(2) That the child's best interest will be materially
363	promoted by a change of physical custody.

(3) That the benefits of the change will more than



offset the inherently disruptive effect resulting from the change in physical custody."

Section 3. Section 30-3-158 is added to the Code of Alabama 1975, to read as follows:

\$30-3-158

- (a) When a parent refuses to adhere to the time-sharing schedule in the parenting plan ordered by the court without proper cause, the court may take any of the following actions:
- improperly denied by a parent, issue an order awarding the parent who was denied time a sufficient amount of extra time-sharing to compensate for the time-sharing missed. The order shall be issued as expeditiously as possible in a manner consistent with the best interest of the child and scheduled in a manner that is convenient for the parent deprived of time-sharing. In ordering any makeup time-sharing, the court shall schedule the time-sharing in a manner that is consistent with the best interest of the child or children, that is convenient for the nonoffending parent, and at the expense of the noncompliant parent.
- (2) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the time-sharing schedule to pay reasonable court costs and attorney fees incurred by the nonoffending parent to enforce the time-sharing schedule.
- (3) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the time-sharing schedule to attend a parenting course approved by



- the court. The parenting course shall educate the parent about the benefits of a child's relationship with both parents.
- 395 (4) Order the parent who did not provide time-sharing
 396 or did not properly exercise time-sharing under the
 397 time-sharing schedule to pay the actual costs incurred by the
 398 other parent because of the failure to provide time-sharing or
 399 the failure to properly exercise time-sharing as provided by
 400 the court order.
- 401 (5) Impose any other reasonable remedies as a result of 402 noncompliance.
- 403 (b) The actions described in subsection (a) are in
 404 addition to existing remedies, including, but not limited to,
 405 contempt.
- Section 4. A court of competent jurisdiction shall equally enforce all child custody and child support orders.
- Section 5. Nothing in this act shall be construed to
 limit the domestic or family abuse provisions provided in
 Article 6 of Chapter 3, Title 30, Code of Alabama 1975.
- Section 6. (a) The provisions of this act may not be construed to constitute a material change of circumstances for purposes of modifying an order in place before January 1, 2026.
- (b) The provisions of this act are severable. If any part of this act is declared invalid or unconstitutional, that declaration shall not affect the part which remains.
- Section 7. This act shall become effective on January 1, 2026.