- 1 SJR67
- 2 150180-1

3 By Senators Brewbaker, Bussman, Allen, Ward, Waggoner, Holley,

- 4 Williams, Holtzclaw, Glover, McGill, Sanford, Taylor and Reed
- 5 RFD: Rules
- 6 First Read: 11-APR-13

1 150180-1:n:03/06/2013:DSM/tj LRS2013-1315 2 3 4 5 6 7 RECOGNIZING RIGHTS OF UNBORN CHILDREN. 8 9 10 WHEREAS, the liberties of a nation are secure only when the minds of its people are convinced that those 11 12 liberties are gifts of the Almighty God; and 13 WHEREAS, Section 1 of the Constitution of Alabama of 14 1901, provides "That all men are equally free and independent; 15 that they are endowed by their Creator with certain 16 inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty and the 17 pursuit of happiness"; and WHEREAS, Section 35 of the Constitution of Alabama 18 of 1901, provides that "the sole object and only legitimate 19 20 end of government is to protect the citizen in the enjoyment 21 of life, liberty, and property"; and 22 WHEREAS, the Legislature of Alabama, in Section 23 26-22-1(a), Code of Alabama 1975, has declared that "The 24 public policy of the State of Alabama is to protect life, 25 born, and unborn"; and 26 WHEREAS, the Legislature of Alabama in Section 27 26-16-90, Code of Alabama 1975, has declared that "Every child is entitled to live in safety and in health and to survive into adulthood"; and

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of Alabama in Ex parte Ankrom, _____ So. 3d ____ [Ms. 1110176 and 1110219, January 11, 2013] (Ala. 2013), interpreted Section 26-15-3.2, Code of Alabama 1975, which is commonly known as the chemical-endangerment statute, and held that "the plain meaning of the word 'child' in the chemical-endangerment statute includes unborn children"; now therefore,

10 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA, BOTH 11 HOUSES THEREOF CONCURRING, That in Ex parte Ankrom, the 12 Supreme Court of Alabama correctly interpreted the 13 chemical-endangerment statute in holding that the meaning of 14 the word "child" includes unborn children.

Page 2