- 1 HR856
- 2 121406-1
- 3 By Representative Ball
- 4 RFD: Rules
- 5 First Read: 08-APR-10

121406-1:n:04/06/2010:JRC/ll LRS2010-2355

8 REQUESTING AN OPINION OF THE JUSTICES REGARDING
9 SB380 OF THE 2010 REGULAR SESSION.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA, That we respectfully request the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court or a majority of them, to give this body their written opinions on the following important constitutional questions which have arisen under Sections 82, 284, and 285 of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, concerning the pending bill, Senate Bill 380, a copy of which is attached to this resolution and made a part hereof by reference.

In general, Senate Bill 380 is a proposed constitutional amendment (hereinafter "Amendment") that would expressly legalize certain electronic gambling devices as part of a "game of chance" the bill refers to as "bingo." The game described in the bill is substantially different than that which this Court recently recognized as "the game commonly or traditionally known as bingo" currently authorized in certain counties and municipalities by various local constitutional

amendments. See Barber v. Cornerstone Community Outreach, So.3d , 2009 WL 3805712 (Ala. 2009); see also Surles v. City of Ashville, So.3d , 2010 WL 336689 (Ala. 2010). Specifically, the Amendment would authorize the conduct of "bingo" using "bingo technologic aids," which "includ[e] without limitation, machines or devices that, once initiated by an action of a player, perform all the operations of the game using digital computers or micro-processors with or without further player interaction." For purposes of clarity, we hereinafter refer to the devices that would be authorized by the Amendment as "electronic bingo machines" and the game described in Cornerstone and Surles as "traditional bingo." 

The Amendment would also establish a State Gaming Commission and mandate that the Commission "shall license operators to conduct bingo <u>using bingo technologic aid</u> at various locations in the state in accordance with one or more general laws supplemental to and subsequent to this amendment to further its purposes and provide for its implementation." (Emphasis added)

Article IV, Section 82

Section 82 of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, provides: "A member of the legislature who has a personal or private interest in any measure or bill proposed or pending before the legislature, shall disclose the fact to the house of which he is a member, and shall not vote thereon."

This Court has previously provided helpful guidance to the House of Representatives on the proper interpretation

and application of Section 82. In Opinion of the Justices No. 1 368, 716 So.2d 1149, 1151-52 (Ala. 1998), the court gleaned 2 language from the Alabama Code of Ethics for Public Officials, 3 Sections 36-25-1 to 36-25-30, Code of Alabama 1975, in opining 4 that "[t]he prohibitions of §82 apply ... to the actions of 5 6 legislators who have a 'substantial financial interest,' 7 namely, 'ownership' or 'control' of an 'interest greater than five percent of the value of any ... business entity ... which 8 is uniquely affected by proposed or pending legislation.' 9 10 Section 36-25-5(f) (Emphasis added)." Justice See, who signed the main opinion, also wrote separately, joined by Justice 11 12 Houston, to express his "understanding that the main opinion 13 does not state that Ala. Code 1975, §36-25-5(f), which focuses 14 solely on the percentage of an affected business owned by a 15 legislator, establishes an exhaustive definition of 'personal or private interest' for purposes of §82 of the Constitution 16 17 of Alabama of 1901." Id. at 1155 (Emphasis added). Justice See explained: 18

"The clear purpose of §82 is to prevent a legislator from benefiting his personal or private interest by his vote. A legislator has a personal or private interest in a bill that particularly affects a business entity in which the legislator has a substantial interest. ... I agree with the main opinion that the Alabama Legislature's enactment of §36-25-5(f) provides a reasonable construction of one means by which a legislator may have a personal or private interest-ownership of a substantial portion of a business. ... It is not my

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 understanding that the main opinion confines the reach of \$82 2 of the Constitution to only one means of having a substantial personal or private interest." 3 4 Id. at 1155-56 (Emphasis added). The Court also opined that "the language in 5 6 \$82-'vote thereon'- applies not 'only to a final vote on the 7 bill, 'but, also, to 'other ancillary legislative activity' material to the bill's passage." 8 Article XVIII, Section 284 9 10 Section 284 of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, 11 provides, in pertinent part: 12 "Amendments may be proposed to this Constitution by 13 the legislature in the manner following: The proposed 14 amendments shall be read in the house in which they originate 15 on three several days, and, if upon the third reading three-fifths of all the members elected to that house shall 16 17 vote in favor thereof, the proposed amendments shall be sent to the other house, in which they shall likewise be read on 18 three several days, and if upon the third reading three-fifths 19 of all the members elected to that house shall vote in favor 20 21 of the proposed amendments, the legislature shall order an 22 election by the qualified electors of the state upon such proposed amendments ..., " (Emphasis added) 23 24 Senate Bill 380 passed the Senate with 21 votes, 25 exactly three-fifths of all the members elected to the house in which the bill originated. 26

Article XVIII, Section 285

27

Section 285 of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, provides, in pertinent part: "Upon the ballots used at all elections provided ... [on constitutional amendments] ... the substance or subject matter of each proposed amendment shall be so printed that the nature thereof shall be clearly indicated." (Emphasis added)

As explained above, Senate Bill 380 proposes a constitutional amendment that would authorize a lottery or game of chance that is substantially different from the game this Court described in <a href="Cornerstone">Cornerstone</a> as "the game commonly or traditionally known as bingo."

However, under Senate Bill 380, the ballot language for this proposed amendment would read: "Proposing an amendment to the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, to authorize the conduct of bingo and operation of bingo games in the state, to authorize the levy of taxes and license fees on bingo operations, and to create a State Gaming Commission to regulate bingo in Alabama." (Emphasis added)

In view of Sections 82, 284, and 285 of the Constitution, important constitutional questions have arisen concerning legislative action on Senate Bill 380. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 12-2-10 of the Code of Alabama 1975, and in deference to this legislative body, so that we may properly and constitutionally dispatch the duties of our office, written opinions are respectively requested concerning the following important constitutional questions:

1. Does Section 82 prohibit a legislator who is an attorney from voting on Senate Bill 380 if the legislator, or the law firm of which he or she is a partner, stands to gain financially from the Amendment because of the legislator's (or the firm's) representation of a client in matters relating to the client's interest or prospective interest in a current or future electronic bingo operation or revenue therefrom?

2. More specifically, does a legislator violate Section 82 if he or she votes in favor of SB380 after publicly disclosing the following in a television interview?

"I think there needs to be a simple constitutional amendment that asks do you want it [electronic bingo] or do you not want it, just simple. All these complicated bills, all of these places designated and some not designated, there's a lot of unfairness in that. ... My firm represents several charities that would like to do that [electronic bingo]. We don't represent the facility, we don't represent the owner, we don't represent the operator, but we represent some charities — that they would like to operate their own facility."

3. If SB380 would not have passed the Senate but for the vote of a senator who was disqualified from voting under Section 82, due to the circumstances described in Question 1 or Question 2 above, would consideration of SB380 by the House of Representatives violate Section 284 of the Constitution of Alabama of 1901, which requires that a proposed constitutional amendment first receive the votes of three-fifths of all the

1 members elected to the house in which it originates, before 2 being sent to the other house?

4. Does the ballot language provided for by Senate Bill 380 violate Section 285 of the Constitution because it does not "clearly indicate" that the amendment would authorize a lottery or game of chance by the name of "bingo" that is substantially different than "the game commonly or traditionally known as bingo" as identified by this Court?

5. Does the ballot language provided for by Senate Bill 380 violate Section 285 of the Constitution because it does not "clearly indicate" that the amendment would authorize certain electronic gambling machines?

RESOLVED FURTHER, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives is directed to send sufficient true copies of the pending bill, Senate Bill 380, to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Alabama, and to transmit this request to the Supreme Court forthwith upon adoption of this resolution.